# Magnitude of Caesarean Section and Associated Factors in Lemlem Karl General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia, 2016: Retrospective Cross-Sectional Study

Kelemu Abebe Gelaw (MSc)<sup>#1</sup>:Natneal Atnafu Gebyew<sup>1</sup> (MSc): Eyasu Alem Lake<sup>2</sup> (MSc); Gedion Asnake Azeze<sup>1</sup> (MSc);

Affiliation

<sup>1</sup>, Department of Midwifery, College of Health Science and Medicine, Wolaita Sodo University, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia.

<sup>2</sup>, Department of Nursing, College of Health Science and Medicine, Wolaita Sodo University, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia.

# Abstract

Caesarean Section is the commonest obstetric Worldwide. operative procedure When used appropriately Cesarean Section can improve infant and/or maternal outcomes. this study intended to assess the magnitude and factors associated with Caesarean Section in Lemlem Karl Hospital. The aim of this study was to asses the Magnitude of Caesarean Section and associated factors in Lemlem Karl General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia, 2016.A cross-sectional study design was conducted inLemlem Karl Hospital in Northern Ethiopia from July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016. The collected data was checked for its completeness; entered, edited, cleaned and analyzed using SPSS version 20. Crosstabs, 95%CI and P-value<0.05 were used to examine the association between dependent and independent variables using logistic regression. We found that the magnitude of Cesarean Section was 28.8%.

Parthographstatus(AOR;0.112,95%CI(0.041,0.307)) and ANC follow up(AOR;0.442,95%CI(0.250,0.849)) were found to have association with cesarean delivery. In this research, the magnitude of the Cesarean Section was high. It is possible to decrease the Caesarean Sectionby increasing the antenatal care coverage and universal use of partograph for all labors.

**Keywords -** *Magnitude, Associated factor, Cesarean Section, Lemlem Karl Hospital, Ethiopia.* 

# I. INTRODUCTION

Caesarean Section (C/S) is a surgical procedure in which, the birth of a fetus occurs through incisions in the abdominal wall (laparotomy) and the uterine wall (hysterotomy). This definition does not include removal of the foetus from the abdominal cavity in the case of rupture of the uterus or in the case of an abdominal pregnancy. It is considered necessary under some conditions to protect the health or survival of infant or mother [Cunninghm, 2010].

Caesarean Section is the commonest obstetric operative procedure Worldwide [RCOG, 2004]. When used appropriately Cesarean Section can improve infant and/or maternal outcomes. There is a growing concern that the Cesarean Section have been rising for all women in the world regardless of medical condition, age, race, or gestational age. World Health Organization (WHO) has proposed that in a country a rate of 5-15 percent of births undergoing a Caesarean Section is optimal and has medical indications for Caesarean Section, and rates above this are unsuitable and unnecessary, imposing financial burden and clinical risks on patients and healthcare systems. The caesarean section rate has risen considerably over the past few decades [WHO, 1985].

The global cesareansection rate is distributed very unevenly and results from 15% of abdominal delivery. Latin America and the Caribbean shows the highest rate (29.2%) and Africa shows the lowest (3.5%)[Thomas et al, 2001]. In developed countries, the proportion of cesarean birth is 21.1% whereas in the least developed countries only 2% of deliveries are by CaesareanSection. The analysis suggests a strong inverse association between Caesarean Section rates and maternal, infant and neonatal mortalityin countries with high mortality levels [RCOG press, 2001]. In many developed countries, CaesareanSections are increased and attention has focused on strategies to reduce its use due to the concern thathigher cesareansection rates do not confer additional health gain but may increase maternal risk, have implications for future pregnancies and have resource implications for health service [Betran et al, 2007].

There is a shortage of skilled attendants, poor quality of care is an issue, costs associated with transport and the services themselves present barriers, and norms promote home births. Where maternal mortality and the incidence of fistula are high, the rate of Cesarean Section tends to be low, especially in rural areas. A recent review of global, regional, and national rates of Cesarean Section showed that the lowest regional rate (3.5%) was in Africa. Studies confirmed that the proportion of Antenatal Care, institutional delivery and skilled birth attendant utilization are very low in Ethiopia[Nebret et al, 2011].

Cesarean Section is a marker of access to, and availability and utilization of obstetric services but, where rates are extremely high, it may also indicate the "mismatch between evidence and practice" in obstetrics. Access to obstetric surgery in Ethiopia is hampered by the relatively few appropriate facilities being concentrated in urban centers approximately 1 center with obstetric surgery for every 800,000 population a scarcity of surgeons and anesthetists, and a largely rural population with limited access to roads[Fantu et al, 2015].

It has been shown that a significant number of obstetricians would agree to perform an elective CS without an obstetrical indication upon maternal request  $\checkmark$  [Allen et al, 2003]. Currently, there is much debate as to whether this surgical procedure should be performed  $\checkmark$  for women without clear clinically acceptable  $\checkmark$  indications [RCOG press, 2001]. The most common indications for Caesarean Section include previous C-section, multiple pregnancy, breech presentation, foetal distress, lack of progress in labour, small foetus and macrosomia, cord prolapse, transverse or oblique lie of the foetus, head and pelvis mismatch, Previa or abruption placenta, and severe preeclampsia[Adnan et al, 2012].

Lemlem Karl hospital has been providing Caesarean Section service for multitudes of mothers for a long time. However, there is little evidence about the magnitude and associated factor of Caesarean Section in the hospital. Thus, this study intended to assess the magnitude and factors associated with Caesarean Section in Lemlem Karl Hospital. This will help policymakers, program managers and clinicians for appropriate intervention strategies toward ensuring the availability of obstetric care and hence reducing maternal mortality. The finding of this study can also serve as baseline information for other studies with similar interest.

# **II. METHODS AND MATERIALS**

#### A. Study area and period

This study was carried out in Miachew town which is found127 kilometers Southern to Mekelle, the

capital of Tigray regional state. In the town, there are two Health Centers and one general Hospital which was the study site for this research, and this hospital known as Lemlem Karl Hospital (LKH).Lemlem Karl Hospital has a bed capacity of 110, out of this 36 of them belongs to the Obstetrics and Gynecology patients, in this ward, there is 1 Obstetrician and Gynecologist, 1 IESO, 11 Nurses and also in operation room 2 Anesthetics and 9 Nurses were giving care for Obstetrics and Gynecology patients. The study period is from July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016.

# B. Study design

An institutional-based cross-sectional study was employed.

#### C. Source population

All mothers who were admitted in Obstetrics and Gynecology ward at Lemlem Karl Hospital from July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016.

#### D. Study population

All Mother who gave birth at Lemlem Karl Hospital.

#### E. Sample population

Those who are medical recording numbers have been listed and selected from the study population.

#### F. Technique Sampling and sampling procedure

Systematic random sampling was used to select the study unit. First list all mothers who gave birth by using their medical recording number (ID no) from the registration book and divided for the sample size n to get k, which was 3. So from the registration book, every  $3^{rd}$  card was selected after the  $1^{st}$  card was taken randomly.

#### G. Sample size determination

Sample size: To determine the desired sample size which could represent the target population, single population proportion formula used with the following assumptions: with 95% confidence interval, 5% margin of error (a=0.05, d=0.05). Just not need of adding contingency in this case because I have used other replaced charts. Mathematically,

 $n = (Z)^{2}(P) (1-P)/d^{2}$  where

z = value corresponding to 95% confidence level (1.96),

d= margin of error and p, value = 0.25

So, n= (1.96)2(0.25) (1-0.25)/ (0.05)2

=288 charts were the final study subjects.

# H. Data collection

Quantitative data were collected from the charts (secondary data) during the study period. Four

individuals, who had a diploma and above in healthrelated professions (Midwives) and previous experience of data collection collected the data and was monitored by one supervisor,

#### Data analysis I

Data were coded by using standard coding methods and the data was entered, cleaned, stored and analyzed by using SPSS version 20. Both descriptive and binary logistic regression analysis was used to present the results. Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables by using statistics, graphs, and figures. Binary logistic regression and multiple variable logistic regressions were carried out to examine the association of independent variables with the dependent variable. The strength of association was interpreted by using the odds ratio and 95%CI. The criterion for statistical significance is p-value of  $\leq 0.05$ .

#### J. Dependent variable

 $\checkmark$  Cesarean section

#### J. Independent variables

✓ Socio-demographic characteristics, Parity, address, gravidity, parity, maternalage, fetal weight, use of partograph, gestational age, ethnicity, Antenatal follow up, onset of labor, pre delivery Hematocrit.

#### K. Exclusion criteria

- Women in which laparotomy was done for complete uterine rupture irrespective of the fetal outcome.
- ✓ Women in which hysterotomy was done before 28 weeks of gestational age.

#### L. Inclusion criteria

All mothers, those gave birth in the hospital during the study period.

# **III. ETHICAL CLEARANCE**

Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board (IRB) of Mekelle University and official letters were written from Mekelle University to Regional Health Bureau, to Lemelem Karl Hospital to get permission. Moreover; confidentiality of the information was assured by not recording the name of the mothers.

# **IV. RESULTS**

#### A. Socio-demographic characteristics

С. A total number of a mother who included in the study was 288. This number included 83 who delivered by Caesarean Section and 205 who delivered vaginally. Majority of the study subjects were in the age range of 21-30 (72.8%). Majority of the study subjects were

Tigrigna (96.9%). As to the residence of the study subjects, 154(53.5%) of the study subjects were from a rural area (Table 1).

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of mothers
who delivered in Lemlem Karl General Hospital, Tigray,
Ethiopia 2016 (N= 288).

Ethopia 2010 (11- 200).							
Variable		Frequency (N)	Percent (%)				
Ethnicity	Tigray	279	96.9				
	Amhara	4	1.4				
	Agew	3	1				
	Afar	2	0.7				
Age of	15-19	19	6.6				
mother	20-30	209	72.8				
	30-40	59	20.6				
Residence	Rural	154	53.5				
	Urban	134	46.5				

# B. B. Reproductive history, Clinical and laboratory findings

From the study subjects, 90(31.3 %) were their first pregnancy; 178(61.8%) had ANC follow up documented. Partograph was used in 258 (89.6 %) of the study subjects. The mean age of the gestation of the study participants at labor was 38.6+ (2 SD) weeks. The mean weight of the newborn was  $3045.8 \pm$ (561.34SD) in grams. The mean pre-operative hematocrit of the study participants was 39.9 + (4.46SD) (Table 2).

Table 2:- Reprod	uctive history of m	others who delivered
in Lemlem Karl I	Hospital, Tigray, E	thiopia 2016 (N=288).

Variable	Category	Frequenc	Percen
		y (N)	t (%)
Gravidity	1	90	31.3
	2 - 4	151	52.4
	>4	47	16.3
Parthograph	Documented	258	89.6
	Not	30	10.4
	documented		
Labour	Spontaneous	264	91.7
onset	Induced	17	5.9
	Elective CS	7	2.4
ANC	Booked	178	61.8
	Unbooked	36	12.5
	Unbooked but	74	25.7
	referred		
Sex of the	Male	160	55.6
Newborn	Female	128	44.4

# C. Prevalence of Caesarean Section and the common indications

The number of Caesarean Section was 83(28.8%). Majority of the cesarean section71 (85.5%) were done for the first time. Out of the total Caesarean Section, 95.2% were performed in an emergency base. The mean pre-delivery hematocrit of the study participants, for whom CS was done, was  $39.9 \pm (4.46\text{SD})$  in days and the mean postoperative hematocrit were  $36.77\% \pm (4.33\% \text{SD})$ . The mean post-operative hematocrit drop was  $3.13\% \pm ($  SD) in hemoglobin.

The mean length of hospital stay after operation for mothers delivered with Caesarean Section was  $4.53 \pm (2.35SD)$  in days. The earliest discharge was after two days while the longest discharge was after 15 days (Table 3).

Table 3:- Clinical findings of mothers delivered through
Caesarean Section in Lemlem Karl Hospital, Tigray,
Ethionia 2016

	Ethiopia	2010.	
Var	riable	Frequ ency( N)	Percentage (%)
Number	First	71	85.5
of CS	Repeat	12	14.5
Type of	Elective	4	4.8
CS	Emergency	79	95.2
Labour onset for	Spontaneou s	76	96.2
those emergen cy CS was done	Induced	3	3.8
Type of	General	5	6
Anaesthe sia Used	Spinal	78	94

The commonest indication of the Caesarean Section was cephalic-pelvic disproportion 30(36.1%) followed by NRFHR 18(21.7%) (Table 4).

Table 4:- Indications of Caesarean Section in Lemlem	
Karl Hospital, Tigray, Ethiopia 2016 (N= 288).	

Indication	Frequency(N)	Percent (%)
Cephalic pelvic proportion	30	36.1
None reassure fetal heartbeat	18	21.7
Failed vaginal delivery after Caesarean Section	4	4.8
Non-candidate for vaginal delivery after Caesarean Section	5	6.0
Breech with x-factor	7	8.4
Failed induction	4	4.8
Obstructed labor	3	3.6
Multiple gestations with x-factor	3	3.6
Antepartum hemorrhage	2	2.4
Cord prolapse	1	1.2

Others 6 7.2
--------------

#### D. Associated factors of Caesarean section

Association of the individual variable with the outcome variable was done and partograph status and ANC follow up were found to have the association with caesarean delivery (Table 5).

Tab	ole 5:	- Biv	variate	and	Mul	tivariate	anal	ysis of va	riables
,	with	Caes	sarean	Sect	tion a	mong m	other	delivere	d in
-		<b>T</b> 7		• • •		<b>T</b> (1		001 (01	

Lemlem Karl Hospital, Tigray, Ethiopia, 2016(N= 288).						
Variable	es	Caesa rean, n (%)	Vagina l, n (%)	COR( 95%C I)	P-Value	
Age	15- 20	4(21. 1%)	15(78. 9%)	0.717 (0.20 7, 2.485 )	0.599	
	21- 30	62(29 .7%)	147(70 .3%)	1.134 (0.59 4, 2.163 )	0.704	
	>30	16(27 .1%)	43(72. 9%)	1	0.704	
Addre ss	Rura 1	49(31 .2%)	105(68 .2%)	1.373 (0.81 9, 2.300 )	0.229	
	Urba n	34(25 .4%)	100(74 .6%)	1		
ANC	Boo ked Unb	40(22 .5%)	138(77 .5%)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.290 \\ (0.16 \\ 3,0.51 \\ 6)^* \end{array}$	0.000	
	ooke d refer red	6(16. 7%)	30(83. 3%)	0.200 (0.07 4, 0.537 )*	0.001	
		37(50 %)	37(50 %)	1	0.000	
Gravi dity	1	29(32 .2%)	61(67. 8%)	0.839 (0.40 0, 1.761 )	0.642	
	2	37(24 .5%)	114(75 .5%)	0.573 (0.28 4,1.15 5)	0.119	
	3	17(36 .2%)	30(63. 8%)	1	0.213	

Parity	1	32(26 .9%)	87(73. 1%)	1.076 (0.59 3, 1.953 )	0.809
	2	24(38 .1%)	39(61. 9%)	1.80( 0.921, 3.520 )	0.086
	3	27(25 .5%)	79(74. 5%)	1	
Gestat ional age	1	3(14. 3%)	18(85. 7%)	0.333 (0.07 9,1.40 5)	0.135
	2	70(29 .5%)	167(70 .5%)	0.838 (0.37 3,1.88 2)	0.669
	3	10(33 .3%)	20(66. 7%)	1	0.306
Labou r onset	Spon tane ous	75(28 /.4%)	189(71 .6%)	0.529 (0.11 6,2.42 1)	0.412
	Indu ced	5(29. 4%)	12(70. 6%)	0.556 (0.09 0, 3.445 )	0.528
	Elect ive C/S	3(42. 7%)	4(57.1 %)	1	0.713
Parth ograp h Status	Doc ume nted	59(22 .9%)	199(77 .1%)	0.074 (0.02 9, 0.190 )*	.000
	Not docu ment ed	24(80 %)	6(20% )	1	
new-	Male	53(33	107(66 9%)	1	
born sex	Fem ale	.1%) 30(23 .4%)	.9%) 98(76. 6%)	1.618 (0.95 7, 2.273 5)	0.072

The variables which had the association in bivariate regression were run in multivariate regression analysis. Partograph documentation, ANC follow up status, gravidity, parity, and sex of the newborn were included in the multivariate logistic regression to identify the independent effect of each of these variables on CS.Compared to mothers who have not documented partograph, mothers' who have documented partograph were 88.2% less likely to be delivered by Caesarean Section (AOR=0.112, 95% CI: 0.041, 0.307). Regarding Antenatal Care, mothers who had ANC follow up had 55.8% less likely to deliver by Caesarean Section (AOR=0.442, 95% CI: 0.230, 0.849).

### V. DISCUSSION

Caesarean Section is a life-saving procedure for both the mother and the baby. Delay in deciding for it may bedetrimental for both. On the other hand, the premature and wrong decision may increase the maternal and fetalmorbidity and mortality. The purpose of this study was to determine the magnitude of Caesarean Section and to identify factors leading to Caesarean Section in Lemlem Karl general hospital. World Health Organizationreported that higher than 10% - 15% rates of CS are not justifiable anywhere. However, reportsshow that there is an alleged overuse of the procedure in many parts of the world [WHO, 2010].

The proportion of women undergoing Caesarean Section in this study was 28.8 %. This finding is consistent with studies conducted in Felegehiwot referral hospital, North West, Ethiopia (25.4%) [Fantu et al, 2015] and Attant hospital, Gurage zone, Southern Ethiopia (27.6%) [Moges et al, 2015]. This magnitude may be attributed to a high number of referral cases.

A national survey in Ethiopia is not comparable with this study showing that the overallinstitutional Caesarean section rate in public sectors was 15% [Fesseha et al, 2011].The result in this study was higher than the finding in MizanAman hospital, SouthwestEthiopia (21%) [Gutema et al, 2014] and Addis Ababa (21.5%) [Gebremedhin et al, 2014]. This discrepancy could be explained by the difference in the study areas, and access to the services.

A study done in Tikur Ambesa hospital showed that the leading indications of cesarean delivery were: repeat cesarean section (32.4%) followed by CPD (29.2%) and APH (12.6%) [Tadesse et al, 2011]. But in this study, the leading indications were: CPD (36.1%), followed by Non-Reassuring Foetal Heart Rate (NRFHR) (21.7%) and failed Vaginal Delivery after Caesarean Section (10.8%). this difference could be due to a high rate of primary cesarean deliveries in private hospitals of Addis Ababa. Similar to this study in Jimma hospital the leading indications were CPD (44%), and repeat cesarean section (18%) [Ayanose et al, 2015].

Compared to mothers who have documented partograph, mothers who have not documented were 88.2% times less likely to deliver by cesarean section.

Similar to this study, clinical guidelines also justify that use of partograph for labor monitoring reduces the likelihood of delivering by cesarean section. It stabilizes the clinician and helps in giving time and preventing premature decisions of cesarean section.

Compared to mothers who had booked ANC, those mothers who had ANC follow up were 55.8% times less likely to deliver by cesarean section. This could be because Antenatal care gives time for correcting or treating the abnormality. Similarly, the study was done in Mizan Aman hospital also showed that cesarean delivery is higher among mothers with no ANC followup [ Gutema et al, 2014].Pregnant women should routinely receive information on the signs of complications and be tested for them at all antenatal care visits.

#### VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The cesarean delivery rate of Lemlem Karl hospital is comparable with the cesarean rate of different hospitals across the nation. Generally, the independent variables found to be a risk for cesarean delivery found with multivariate analysis were: status of partograph documentation and status of ANC follow up. Administrative bodies, health officials and stakeholders should work on ANC follow up so that every pregnant mother gets the care and decrease the likelihood of cesarean delivery. Further detailed prospective and community-based investigation on cesarean delivery should be conducted.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express our deepest heartfelt thanks to Mekelle University for allowing the conduct of this study. Our special thanks go to Lemlem Karl Hospital staffs for their support during the data collection process.

#### REFERENCE

- Adnan A, Abu O, Suleiman H, Abu A. Frequency Rate and Indications of Cesarean Sections at Prince Zaid Bin Al Hussein Hospital –
- Jordan. J Med Science line Res. 2012; 19(1):82–6.
  [2] Allen VM, O'Connell CM, Baskett TF. Maternal Morbidity Associated With Caesarean Delivery Without Labour Compared With Spontaneous Onset of Labour at Term. Obstetric Gynecol. 2003; 102:477–82.
- [3] Ayanos T and Mohammed Y(2015); One Year Retrospective Analysis of Prevalence of Caesarean Section in Jimma University Specialized Hospital, South Western Ethiopia Preg Child Health, 2:4.
- [4] Betrán AP, Merialdi M, Lauer JA, Bing-Shun W, Thomas J, et al. (2007); Rates of cesarean section: analysis of global, regional and nationalestimates. PediatricPerinatalEpidemiology 21: 98-113.
- [5] Cunningham F. et al, Williams Obstetrics, 23rd Edition, USA, McGraw-Hill Companies, 2010.

- [6] Fantu Eyowas Abebe Abebaw, Worku Gebeyehu, Ashebir Negasi Kidane and Gizached Aynalem Eyassu(2015). Factors leading to cesarean section delivery at Felegehiwot referral hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: A retrospective record review.
- [7] Fesseha N, Getachew A, Hiluf M, Gebrehiwot Y, Bailey P.A national review of cesarean delivery in Ethiopia. International Feeration of Gynaecology and Obstetrics.2011.
- [8] Gebremedhin S (2014) Trend and socio-demographic differentials of Caesarean section rate in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: an analysis based on Ethiopia demographic and health surveys data. Reproductive Health.
- [9] Gutema H, Shimye A (2014) Caesarean Section and Associated Factors at Mizan Aman General Hospital Southwest Ethiopia. Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics 2: 37-41.
- [10] Moges A, Ademe B, Akessa G, Prevalence and Outcome of Cesarean Section in Attat Hospital Gurage Zone, SNNPR, Ethiopia. Arch Med. 2015; 7:4.
- [11] National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health. Cesarean section Guideline. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, 27 Sussex Place:RCOG Press; 2004(1).
- [12] (2001) Nationalcollaboratingcenter for women's and children's health. Cesareansection: clinical guideline. ROCG Press, London, United Kingdom.
- [13] Nebret F, Atnafu G, Mihret H, Yirgu G, Patrica B (2011) National review of cesarean deliveries in Ethiopia: Averting maternal death and disability. International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics 115: 106-11.
- [14] Nebret F, Atnafu G, Mihret H, Yirgu G, Patrica B(2011). A national review of cesarean deliveries in Ethiopia: Averting maternal death and disability. International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics. 115; 106 –111.
- [15] Rashidian A (2010). Developing criteria for Caesarean Section using the R and appropriateness method .\BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, Tehran, 10:52.
- [16] Shams had B (2008). Factors Leading to Increased Cesarean Section Rate. Gomel J Med Sci. 2008.6:1.
- [17] Tadesse E, Adane M, Abiyou M (2011). Cesarean section deliveries at Tikur Ambessa Teaching Hospital, Ethiopia. Int J Gynecol Obstet. Oct; 115(1):106-11.
- [18] Thomas J, Paranjothys (2001) Royal College of Obstetricians and gynecologists clinical effectiveness support unit. The nationalsentinelcesareansection audit report. RCOG Press, London, United Kingdom.
- [19] Yalem T. and San M (2010). Determinants of Antenatal Care, Institutional Delivery and Skilled Birth Attendant Utilization in Samre Saharti District, Tigray, Ethiopia.
- [20] World Health Organization. Monitoring emergency obstetric care: aHandbook. 2009.
- [21] WHO (2010) Trends in Maternal Mortality: 1990 to 2008. Geneva: WorldHealth Organization.
- [22] WHO (1985) appropriate technology for birth. Lancet 2: 436– 437.